ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

Hepatitis B virus persistent infection-related single nucleotide polymorphisms in HLA regions are associated with viral load in hepatoma families

Ai-Ru Hsieh, Cathy S J Fann, Hung-Chun Lin, Jennifer Tai, Sen-Yung Hsieh, Dar-In Tai

ORCID number: Ai-Ru Hsieh 0000-0003-3900-9101; Cathy S J Fann 0000-0001-9025-2276; Hung-Chun Lin 0000-0002-6978-5702; Jennifer Tai 0000-0002-0500-8867; Sen-Yung Hsieh 0000-0002-1723-7261; Dar-In Tai 0000-0003-1054-1583.

Ai-Ru Hsieh, Department of Statistics, Tamkang University, New Taipei City 25137, Taiwan

Cathy S J Fann, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei 11529, Taiwan

Hung-Chun Lin, Jennifer Tai, Sen-Yung Hsieh, Dar-In Tai, Division of Hepatology, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou Medical Center, Taoyuan 33305, Taiwan

Corresponding author: Dar-In Tai, MD, PhD, Professor, Division of Hepatology, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou Medical Center, No. 5 Fuxing Street, Guishan District, Taoyuan 33305, Taiwan. tai48978@cgmh.org.tw

Abstract

BACKGROUND
Genome-wide association studies from Asia indicate that HLA-DP and HLA-DQ loci are important in persistent hepatitis B virus (HBV) infections. One of the key elements for HBV-related carcinogenesis is persistent viral replication and inflammation.

AIM
To examine genetic and nongenetic factors with persistent HBV infection and viral load in families with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

METHODS
The HCC families included 301 hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) carriers and 424 noncarriers born before the nationwide vaccination program was initiated in 1984. Five HBV-related single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) — rs477515, rs9272105, rs9276370, rs7756516, and rs9277535 — were genotyped. Factors associated with persistent HBV infection and viral load were analyzed by a generalized estimating equation.

RESULTS
In the first-stage persistent HBV study, all SNPs except rs9272105 were associated with persistent infection. A significantly higher area under the reciprocal operating characteristic curve for nongenetic factors *vs* genetic factors ($P < 0.001$)

suggests that the former play a major role in persistent HBV infection. In the second-stage viral load study, we added 8 HBsAg carriers born after 1984. The 309 HBsAg carriers were divided into low ($n = 162$) and high viral load ($n = 147$) groups with an HBV DNA cutoff of 10^5 cps/mL. Sex, relationship to the index case, rs477515, rs9272105, and rs7756516 were associated with viral load. Based on the receiver operating characteristic curve analysis, genetic and nongenetic factors affected viral load equally in the HCC family cohort ($P = 0.3117$).

CONCLUSION
In these east Asian adults, the mechanism of persistent HBV infection-related SNPs was a prolonged viral replication phase.

Key Words: Generalized estimating equation; Genetic polymorphism; Genome-wide association study; Hepatitis B surface antigen; Hepatitis B virus; Replication

Core Tip: Hepatitis B virus (HBV)-related single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been identified in East Asians. We evaluated five SNPs and nongenetic factors associated with HBV infection in a hepatocellular carcinoma family cohort. The factors were correlated with hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) in the first-stage and with HBV viral load in the second-stage. The SNPs, sex, generation, and index case HBsAg contributed to persistent HBV infection. Neonatal tolerance and SNPs in the HLA loci were both independently associated with persistent HBV infection. A prolonged HBV replication phase in parents could be the main mechanism of persistent HBV infection in children in East Asia.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic hepatitis B is a global disease, with the highest prevalence in Africa and Asia [1,2]. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is highly infectious[3,4], and those who are infected early in life are likely to develop a persistent infection[5-7]. Intra-familial spread of infection is common, resulting in the clustering of chronic hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) carriers and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in families[8-10]. Recent genome-wide association studies (GWASs) in Japan, Korea, Saudi Arabia, China, and Taiwan have consistently shown that single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at the HLA-DP and HLA-DQ loci play important roles in persistent HBV infection[11-19]. However, risk alleles of HBV-related SNPs are not present in the majority of Africans[20,21], so the high prevalence of HBsAg carriers in Africa cannot be completely explained by the SNPs.

It is well known that clearance of the hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) occurs earlier in African than in Asian HBsAg carriers[22-25]. In east Asia, the annual HBeAg seroconversion rate is < 2% in children younger than 3 years of age and around 5% in children older than 3 years of age[22,23]. On the contrary, an HBeAg annual clearance rate of 14%-16% has been found in Euro-Mediterranean and African children[24,25]. HBeAg clearance is associated with a decreased viral load and results in a decrease of perinatal infections and the development of chronic persistent HBV infection[7,23]. We propose that persistent HBV infection-related SNPs may be one of the reasons for the prolonged HBV replication phase in east Asians. To evaluate this hypothesis, we analyzed the HBV-related SNP and demographic data obtained from HCC families. HCC families are known to have higher perinatal transmission and a longer HBV replication phase than the general population[9,10]. We expect that the genetic and nongenetic factors characteristic of HCC families may help us to understand the

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics statement
Our study was approved by the institutional review board of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taiwan (IRB 104-2596). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. All experiments and data comparisons were carried out in compliance with relevant laws and guidelines, and complied with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study participants
Patients with HCC who were diagnosed at Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Lin-Kou Medical Center were included as index cases. From 2003 to 2007, relatives of the patients were prospectively invited to complete a liver disease survey. The details of the survey can be seen in our previous report[10]. Briefly, after confirmation of their relation to the index HCC patient, the relatives received a structured questionnaire and underwent assessments of their liver biochemistry, alpha-fetoprotein, viral markers, and HBV genotyping. Peripheral blood samples were collected for host genome analysis.

Study size
We calculated sample sizes and statistical power to detect genetic effects in the study. The calculation considered the impact the minor allele frequency (MAF, from 0.1 to 0.4), odds ratio (OR, from 1.05 to 3), statistical power (from 0.5 to 0.9) and measurement error (type I error = 0.05) have on sample size. Power calculations were performed with QUANTO power calculator, version 1.2.4 (https://preventivemedicine.usc.edu/download-quanto/).

SNP selection and genotyping
Four genetic variants (rs477515, rs9276370, rs7756516, rs9277535) associated with persistent HBV infection that were previously identified[17] were included in the analysis. One additional HCC-related SNP (rs9272105) previously identified in China was also included[26]. Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood cells using MagNA Pure LC DNA isolation kits with automated DNA isolation instruments (MagNA Pure LC II; Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Triple-SNP (rs477515, rs9272105, rs9277535) genotyping was performed with *Taq*Man Genotyping assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States). Two SNPs (rs7756516, rs9276370) were genotyped with a Sequenom MassARRAY System (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, United States). The *Taq*Man assays were carried out by Vita Genomics (New Taipei City, Taiwan), and the Sequenom MassARRAY assays were performed by the Academia Sinica National Genotyping Center (Taipei, Taiwan). The overall genotype call rate was > 95%.

Statistical analysis
The statistical analyses were performed with SAS version 8.2 for UNIX (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, United States), PLINK (http://zzz.bwh.harvard.edu/plink/) (http://zzz.bwh.harvard.edu/plink/summary.shtml), R 2.15.1 (http://www.r-project.org/), and the Family-Based Association Test software (http://www.biostat.harvard.edu/~fbat/fbat.htm)[27]. A two-tailed *P* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All associations were controlled for confounding factors. SNP data was quality controlled using the following criteria: (1) Call rate > 0.95; (2) MAF > 0.01; and (3) Deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium *P* > 0.001.

Individual locus analysis: We assessed the association of SNPs with persistent HBV infection or viral load in an additive genetic model using univariate and multivariate logistic regression of the data from unrelated male participants. In the family analysis, relatives included individuals living in the same household. First- and second-stage analyses were conducted with a generalized estimating equation (GEE) that included data correlated with a binary response (*e.g.*, to HBsAg status and HBV DNA level) using an exchangeable working correlation structure[28,29]. Univariate and multivariate analysis of the first- and second-stage results were assessed using the GEE method combined with the PROC GENMOD procedure in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute). ORs were reported with 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Weighted genetic risk score calculation: The weighted genetic risk score (WGRS) was calculated for the SNPs that were significantly associated with persistent infection or viral load. We assumed that each SNP was independently associated with risk according to an additive genetic model. The WGRS was calculated by multiplying the number of risk alleles at each polymorphic locus (0, 1, or 2) by each person for the corresponding relative logarithm of the OR (w_i) from the multivariate individual locus analysis and rescaling it with the factor $m/\sum_i w_i$, as follows: $WGRS = (m/\sum_i w_i) \cdot \sum w_i n_i$, where m is the number of statistically significant SNPs and n_i is the number of risk alleles for SNPi[30]. We divided the continuous WGRS into quartiles (Q1-4) and compared the risks among them.

Evaluation of genetic and nongenetic factors: We analyzed factors associated with persistent HBV infection or viral load using the logistic regression model unrelated participants and the GEE method for family data. Three prediction models were used: (1) The genetic model included only SNPs and WGRS; (2) The nongenetic model included only demographic data; and (3) The mixed model included both genetic and nongenetic variables. The contribution of the WGRS was evaluated using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC), net reclassification improvement (NRI) method[31], and integrated discrimination improvement (IDI)[32] with the prediction model with and without the WGRS. To assess the demographic impact of including the WGRS in the model, an AUC of 0.5 indicated no discrimination and an AUC of 1 indicated perfect discrimination. The NRI indicated the proportion of subjects reclassified correctly (NRI > 0) or incorrectly (NRI < 0) into the various risk categories. An IDI > 0 indicated a statistically significant prediction of improvement as a result of adding variables to the model.

RESULTS

The HCC family cohort included 835 participants (Figure 1), of whom 301 HBsAg-positive and 424 of HBsAg-negative family members were selected for the first-stage HBV infection-persistence analysis. We excluded those born after the nationwide vaccination program was initiated in 1984. In the second-stage viral load study, we added 8 HBsAg carriers born after 1984 (Figure 1). A cohort of 309 HBsAg carriers was divided into high ($n = 147$) and low ($n = 162$) viral load groups using an HBV DNA cutoff of 10^5 cps/mL.

First stage: Factors associated with persistent HBV infection

Risk factors associated with being an HBsAg carrier were identified in the first-stage analysis. Demographic factors, which included age, sex, index case sex, relation to the index case, index HBsAg, and maternal HBsAg, are shown in Table 1. Age (OR = 1.018, $P = 0.0013$), sex (OR = 1.641, $P = 0.0001$), relation to the index case (OR = 3.203, $P < 0.0001$; index generation compared with children and grandchildren), index HBsAg (OR = 4.913, $P < 0.0001$), maternal HBsAg (OR = 3.31, $P < 0.0001$), and serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) (OR = 1.017, $P < 0.0001$) were significantly associated with persistent HBV infection. The associations remained significant after controlling for sex and age.

The SNPs rs477515 (OR = 1.377, $P = 0.0274$), rs9276370 (OR = 1.790, $P = 0.0012$), rs7756516 (OR = 1.654, $P = 0.0048$), and rs9277535 (OR = 1.519, $P = 0.0004$) were significantly associated with chronic HBV infection (Table 1). The ORs remained statistically significant after controlling for sex and age. HCC families carrying more risk alleles had an increased OR (Table 2, upper panel). Compared with participants with a WGRS in Q1, those with scores in Q2 and Q3–4 had higher risks of HBsAg positivity (Q2 OR = 1.878, $P = 0.0014$; Q3-4 OR = 2.538, $P < 0.0001$).

Results of the multivariate GEE analysis of the risk factors associated with persistent HBV infection are shown in Table 3. In the nongenetic model, sex, index generation, and index and maternal index HBsAg were associated with persistent HBV infection. In the genetic model, rs9277535 and WGRS were associated with persistent HBV infection. In the mixed model, all the risk factors were significant (male sex $P = 0.0205$; index generation $P = 0.0001$; index HBsAg $P < 0.0001$; maternal HBsAg $P = 0.0072$; rs9277535 $P = 0.0029$; WGRS $P = 0.0012$; Table 3).

The AUC for persistent HBV infection (Table 3) was 0.786 ($P < 0.0001$) in the nongenetic model and 0.620 ($P < 0.0001$) in the genetic model. Although the SNPs were identified by GWAS in unrelated subjects, the AUC data suggest that nongenetic factors were more important than genetic factors for the development of persistent

Table 1 Factors associated with persistent hepatitis B virus infection in the hepatocellular carcinoma family cohort

Category	HBsAg		OR (95%CI)	Adjusted OR (95%CI)[1]	*P* value	Adjusted *P* value[2]
	Positive	Negative				
Total family members, *n*	301	424				
Age in yr, mean ± SD	44.23 ± 13.84	41.25 ± 14.97	1.018 (1.007-1.03)	1.017 (1.006-1.028)	0.0013	0.0030
Sex, *n* (%)						
Male	182 (60.47)	203 (47.88)	1.641 (1.279-2.107)	1.57 (1.225-2.011)	0.0001	0.0004
Female	119 (39.53)	221 (52.12)	1	1		
Index sex, *n* (%)						
Male	226 (75.08)	309 (72.88)	1.207 (0.726-2.006)	1.147 (0.681-1.93)	0.4685	0.6061
Female	75 (24.92)	115 (27.12)	1	1		
Relation to index, *n* (%)						
Children and grandchildren	146 (48.50)	319 (75.24)	1	1		
Parent generation	7 (2.33)	15 (3.54)	0.7 (0.302-1.622)	1.472 (0.533-4.065)	0.4059	0.4559
Index generation	148 (49.17)	90 (21.23)	3.203 (2.282-4.498)	4.861 (2.923-8.083)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Index status, *n* (%)						
HBsAg-	70 (23.26)	257 (60.61)	1	1		
HBsAg+	231 (76.74)	167 (39.39)	4.913 (3.209-7.522)	5.928 (3.747-9.377)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Mother's status, *n* (%)						
HBsAg-	85 (28.24)	239 (56.37)	1	1		
HBsAg+	91 (30.23)	45 (10.61)	3.31 (1.894-5.783)	3.296 (1.891-5.746)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Unknown	125 (41.53)	140 (33.02)	2.305 (1.568-3.39)	1.87 (1.202-2.91)	<.0001	0.0055
SGPT, mean ± SD	49.98 ± 65.39	25.83 ± 24.92	1.017 (1.011-1.022)	1.015 (1.009-1.020)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
rs477515 (MAF = 0.1552) Chr6: 32601914[1]						
TT (reference)	5 (1.66)	20 (4.72)				
TC	59 (19.60)	116 (27.36)				
CC	237 (78.74)	288 (67.92)	1.377 (1.036-1.831)	1.38 (1.034-1.842)	0.0274	0.0285
rs9272105 (MAF = 0.4282) Chr6: 32632222[1]						
GG (reference)	54 (17.94)	84 (19.86)				
GA	137 (45.51)	207 (48.94)				
AA	110 (36.54)	132 (31.21)	1.054 (0.859-1.293)	1.031 (0.844-1.261)	0.6126	0.7639
rs9276370 (MAF = 0.1159) Chr6: 32739518[1]						
GG (reference)	3 (1.00)	13 (3.07)				
GT	39 (12.96)	97 (22.88)				
TT	259 (86.05)	314 (74.06)	1.790 (1.258-2.547)	1.759 (1.228-2.519)	0.0012	0.0021
rs7756516 (MAF = 0.1166) Chr6: 32756140[1]						
CC (reference)	3 (1.00)	13 (3.07)				
CT	42 (13.95)	95 (22.41)				
TT	256 (85.05)	316 (74.53)	1.654 (1.166-2.346)	1.612 (1.123-2.313)	0.0048	0.0096
rs9277535 (MAF = 0.3234) Chr6: 33087084[1]						
AA (reference)	21 (6.98)	61 (14.39)				
AG	114 (37.87)	191 (45.05)				
GG	166 (55.15)	172 (40.57)	1.519 (1.204-1.916)	1.493 (1.182-1.886)	0.0004	0.0008

[1]Genome build GRCH38.

[2]Adjusted for sex and age. HBsAg: Hepatitis B surface antigen; MAF: Minor allele frequency; SGPT: Serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio.

Table 2 Cumulative effect of the genetic-risk alleles associated with hepatitis B viral load or persistent hepatitis B virus infection

Study	WGRS quartile	OR (95%CI)	*P* value
Family first stage: Persistent HBV infection	Q1 (WGRS ≤ 6.166)	1	
	Q2 (WGRS = 6.166-7.083)	1.878 (1.277-2.762)	0.0014
	Q3,4 (WGRS > 7.083)[1]	2.538 (1.742-3.698)	< 0.0001
	Cochran-Armitage trend test		< 0.0001
Family second stage: Viral load	Q1 (WGRS ≤ 4.583)	1	
	Q2 (WGRS = 4.583-5.291)	2.204 (1.253-3.878)	0.0061
	Q3,4 (WGRS > 5.291)[2]	3.156 (1.780-5.595)	< 0.0001
	Cochran-Armitage trend test		< 0.0001

[1]The number of hepatitis B surface antigen negative individuals in Q4 was < 5, so Q3 and Q4 were combined.

[2]The number of individuals with hepatitis B virus DNA < 10^5 cps/mL in Q4 was < 1, so Q3 and Q4 were combined. The cumulative effect was calculated from: Four single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (rs9272105, rs9276370, rs7756516, and s9277535) in unrelated male hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) carriers; four SNPs (rs477515, rs9276370, rs7756516, and rs9277535) in the first-stage hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) family cohort analysis; and three SNPs (rs477515, rs9272105, and rs7756516) in HBsAg-positive carriers in the second-stage HCC family cohort analysis. CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio; Q: Quartile; WGRS: Weighted genetic risk score; HBV: Hepatitis B virus.

HBV infection (*P* < 0.0001; Figure 2). The combination of genetic and nongenetic factors resulted in an AUC of 0.795 (*P* < 0.0001; Figure 2 and Table 3). The IDI was 0.017 (95%CI: 0.009-0.026, *P* < 0.0001) and the NRI was 0.330 (95%CI: 0.192-0.467, *P* < 0.0001). The IDI and NRI values indicated statistically significant predicted improvement in the mixed, relative to the nongenetic model (Table 3).

Second stage: Factors associated with HBV viral load in HBsAg-positive HCC families

Factors associated with the HBV viral load were evaluated in HBsAg-positive families (Table 4). In that group, male sex (OR = 1.922, *P* = 0.0078), relation to the index case (OR = 2.033, *P* = 0.0029), index HBsAg (OR = 2.508, *P* = 0.0036), and SGPT (OR = 1.010, *P* = 0.0105) were significantly associated with the HBV viral load. The associations remained statistically significant after controlling for sex. HBV genotypes were also evaluated in HCC families, and of the participants with known HBV genotypes, the prevalence of genotype C was higher in those with high viral loads (41/143, 28.7%) than in those with low viral loads (15/90, 16.7%, *P* = 0.0431). The difference was marginally significant in multivariate analysis (*P* = 0.0515; Table 4).

Of the five SNPs included in the analysis, rs477515 (OR = 3.107, *P* = 0.0002), rs9272105 (OR = 1.747, *P* = 0.0009), and rs7756516 (OR = 1.951, *P* = 0.0272) were significantly associated with HBV viral load. The associations remained significant after controlling for sex (Table 4). Participants carrying more risk alleles had higher ORs for HBV viral load (Table 2, lower panel) and compared with patients having a WGRS in Q1, those in Q2 (OR = 2.204, *P* = 0.0061) and Q3-4 (OR = 3.156, *P* < 0.0001) had higher odds of having an HBV viral load.

The results of multivariate GEE analysis of factors associated with the HBV viral load in the genetic, nongenetic, and mixed models are shown in Table 5. In the nongenetic model, the risk of HBV viral load was higher in males (OR = 1.955, *P* = 0.0162) and in those with index HBsAg positivity (OR = 2.219, *P* = 0.0187). In the genetic model, the risk allele rs477515 (OR = 2.246, *P* = 0.0159) and the WGRS (OR = 1.644, *P* < 0.0001) were significantly different between the groups with high and low viral loads. In the mixed model, sex, rs477515, and WGRS were significantly different in the groups with high and low viral loads (Table 5).

The AUC of the HBV viral load was 0.674 (*P* < 0.0001) for the nongenetic model, 0.632 (*P* < 0.0001) for the genetic model, and 0.704 (*P* < 0.0001) for the mixed model (Figure 3 and Table 5). The results suggest that both genetic and nongenetic factors

Table 3 Multivariate generalized estimating equation and area under the curve for hepatitis B surface antigen status in the hepatocellular carcinoma family cohort

Variable	Nongenetic model		Mixed model	
	OR (95%CI)	*P* value	OR (95%CI)	*P* value
Sex, male	1.458 (1.048-2.027)	0.0250	1.514 (1.075-2.133)[1]/1.487 (1.063-2.081)[2]	0.0177[1]/0.0205[2]
Index sex, male	0.915 (0.532-1.573)	0.7475	0.853 (0.496-1.466)[1]/0.838 (0.488-1.442)[2]	0.5648[1]/0.5238[2]
Age in yr	1.001 (0.984-1.018)	0.9392	1.000 (0.982-1.017)[1]/0.998 (0.981-1.016)[2]	0.9608[1]/0.8582[2]
Relation to index				
Parent generation	0.523 (0.176-1.555)	0.2434	0.560 (0.182-1.719)[1]/0.603 (0.198-1.833)[2]	0.3108[1]/0.3726[2]
Index generation	3.385 (1.836-6.239)	< 0.0001	3.344 (1.766-6.331)[1]/3.493 (1.860-6.559)[2]	0.0002[1]/0.0001[2]
Index's HBsAg+	5.077 (3.103-8.308)	< 0.0001	4.919 (2.980-8.119)[1]/4.756 (2.912-7.766)[2]	< 0.0001[1]/< 0.0001[2]
Mother's status				
HBsAg+	2.597 (1.332-5.064)	0.0051	2.459 (1.270-4.760)[1]/2.517 (1.284-4.933)[2]	0.0076[1]/0.0072[2]
Unknown	1.395 (0.788-2.469)	0.2538	1.412 (0.790-2.522)[1]/1.413 (0.795-2.511)[2]	0.2444[1]/0.2387[2]
AUC (95%CI)	0.786 (0.752-0.820)	< 0.0001		
	Genetic model			
rs477515	1.303 (0.969-1.753)	0.0802	1.121 (0.770-1.631)	0.5507
rs9276370	2.741 (0.766-9.812)	0.1211	3.040 (0.623-14.839)	0.1693
rs7756516	0.592 (0.171-2.042)	0.4064	0.516 (0.104-2.554)	0.4177
rs9277535	1.575 (1.244-1.995)	0.0002	1.535 (1.157-2.035)	0.0029
AUC (95%CI)	0.632 (0.593-0.671)	< 0.0001	0.798 (0.765-0.831)	< 0.0001
WGRS	1.322 (1.162-1.505)	< 0.0001	1.269 (1.099-1.465)	0.0012
AUC (95%CI)	0.620 (0.580-0.660)	< 0.0001	0.795 (0.762-0.829)	< 0.0001
IDI (95%CI)			0.017 (0.009-0.026)	< 0.0001
NRI (95%CI)			0.330 (0.192-0.467)	< 0.0001

[1]Each single nucleotide polymorphism was included in the mixed model.

[2]The weighted genetic risk score was added in the mixed model. AUC: Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio; GEE: Generalized estimating equation; IDI: Integrated discrimination improvement; NRI: Net reclassification improvement; WGRS: Weighted genetic risk score.

had an effect on HBV viral load. Both the IDI (0.042, 95%CI: 0.019-0.065, *P* = 0.0003) and the NRI (0.440, 95%CI: 0.236–0.644, *P* < 0.0001) indicated that the mixed model represented a significant improvement (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

In this HCC family cohort, we found that both genetic and nongenetic factors were significantly associated with persistent HBV infection. In addition, HBV-related SNPs in the HLA-DP and HLA-DQ regions were associated with HBV viral load. GWASs conducted in diverse Asian populations have revealed that the HLA-DP and -DP loci play roles in persistent HBV infection[10-19]. We evaluated persistent HBV infection in the first-stage HCC family study. Expression of four of the five HBV-related SNPs differed significantly between the HBsAg carriers and the noncarriers. When only the risk alleles of the four SNPs were included in the univariate analysis, the OR for

Table 4 Factors associated with hepatitis B viral load in a hepatitis B surface antigen-positive hepatocellular carcinoma family cohort

Category	HBV DNA		OR (95%CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)[2]	*P* value	Adjusted *P* value[2]
	≥ 10⁵ cps/mL	< 10⁵ cps/mL				
Total members	147	162				
Age in yr, mean ± SD	45.03 ± 14.18	41.82 ± 14.21	1.017 (1-1.035)	1.017 (0.999-1.035)	0.0538	0.0668
Sex, *n* (%)						
Male	100 (68.03)	88 (54.32)	1.922 (1.188-3.111)	1.914 (1.187-3.087)	0.0078	0.0078
Female	47 (31.97)	74 (45.68)	1	1		
Relation to index, *n* (%)						
Children and grandchildren generation	58 (39.46)	95 (58.64)	1	1		
Parent generation	5 (3.4)	2 (1.23)	3.683 (0.866-15.656)	5.056 (1.259-20.3)	0.0775	0.0223
Index generation	84 (57.14)	65 (40.12)	2.033 (1.274-3.246)	1.845 (1.144-2.977)	0.0029	0.0121
Index's status, *n* (%)						
HBsAg-	21 (14.29)	49 (30.25)	1	1		
HBsAg+	126 (85.71)	113 (69.75)	2.508 (1.351-4.657)	2.492 (1.324-4.692)	0.0036	0.0047
Mother's status, *n* (%)						
HBsAg-	43 (29.25)	43 (26.54)	1	1		
HBsAg+	46 (31.29)	51 (31.48)	0.874 (0.467-1.634)	0.91 (0.485-1.707)	0.6724	0.7693
Unknown	58 (39.46)	68 (41.98)	0.855 (0.491-1.49)	0.857 (0.488-1.503)	0.5804	0.5898
HBV genotype (BGT230), *n* (%)						
Unknown[3]	3 (2.05)	72 (44.44)	0.03 (0.009-0.104)	0.029 (0.008-0.1)	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
B	102 (69.86)	75 (46.3)	1	1		
C	41 (28.08)	15 (9.26)	2.042 (1.023-4.079)	2.066 (0.995-4.288)	0.0431	0.0515
SGPT, mean ± SD	63.92 ± 79.63	37.02 ± 45.22	1.010 (1.002-1.018)	1.009 (1.001-1.017)	0.0105	0.0260
rs477515 (MAF = 0.1149) Chr6: 32601914[1]						
TT (reference)	1 (0.68)	4 (2.47)				
TC	15 (10.2)	46 (28.4)				
CC	131 (89.12)	112 (69.14)	3.107 (1.708-5.653)	3.195 (1.746-5.847)	0.0002	0.0002
rs9272105 (MAF = 0.4078) Chr6: 32632222[1]						
GG (reference)	20 (13.61)	36 (22.22)				
GA	59 (40.14)	81 (50)				
AA	68 (46.26)	45 (27.78)	1.747 (1.256-2.428)	1.75 (1.247-2.456)	0.0009	0.0012
rs9276370 (MAF = 0.07605) Chr6: 32739518[1]						
GG (reference)	1 (0.68)	3 (1.85)				
GT	14 (9.52)	25 (15.43)				
TT	132 (89.8)	134 (82.72)	1.747 (0.933-3.272)	1.679 (0.901-3.131)	0.0811	0.1029
rs7756516 (MAF = 0.08091) Chr6: 32756140[1]						
CC (reference)	0 (0)	4 (2.47)				
CT	16 (10.88)	26 (16.05)				
TT	131 (89.12)	132 (81.48)	1.951 (1.078-3.53)	1.875 (1.029-3.417)	0.0272	0.0400
rs9277535 (MAF = 0.2589) Chr6: 33087084[1]						
AA (reference)	13 (8.84)	8 (4.94)				
AG	45 (30.61)	73 (45.06)				

GG	89 (60.54)	81 (50)	1.235 (0.849-1.797)	1.303 (0.888-1.911)	0.2703	0.1767

[1]Genome Build ARCH38.

[2]Adjusted by sex.

[3]Eight cases not tested. CI: Confidence interval; HBsAg: Hepatitis B surface antigen; OR: Odds ratio; HBV: Hepatitis B virus.

Table 5 Multivariate generalized estimating equation and area under the curve hepatitis B viral loads in a hepatocellular family cohort

	Nongenetic model		Mixed model	
Variable	**OR (95%CI)**	**P value**	**OR (95%CI)**	**P value**
SNP				
Sex, male	1.955 (1.132-3.376)	0.0162	1.918 (1.101-3.341)[1]/1.911 (1.097-3.328)[2]	0.0214[1]/0.0223[2]
Index sex, male	0.903 (0.481-1.699)	0.7527	0.914 (0.488-1.713)[1]/0.912 (0.482-1.725)[2]	0.7786[1]/0.7771[2]
Age in yr	1.012 (0.987-1.037)	0.3363	1.007 (0.983-1.032)[1]/1.008 (0.983-1.033)[2]	0.5658[1]/0.5426[2]
Relation to index				
Parent generation	4.182 (0.68-25.731)	0.1228	4.343 (0.81-23.285)[1]/4.091 (0.75-22.316)[2]	0.0866[1]/0.1036[2]
Index generation	1.7 (0.844-3.423)	0.1372	1.851 (0.912-3.756)[1]/1.797 (0.894-3.611)[2]	0.0881[1]/0.0999[2]
Index HBsAg+	2.219 (1.142-4.31)	0.0187	1.734 (0.853-3.526)[1]/1.816 (0.907-3.636)[2]	0.1283[1]/0.0918[2]
Mother's status				
HBsAg+	0.828 (0.407-1.684)	0.6021	0.766 (0.361-1.623)[1]/0.763 (0.360-1.619)[2]	0.4862[1]/0.4814[2]
Unknown	0.537 (0.275-1.045)	0.0673	0.549 (0.272-1.107)[1]/0.559 (0.278-1.125)[2]	0.094[1]/0.1030[2]
AUC (95%CI)	0.674 (0.614-0.734)	< 0.0001		
	Genetic model			
rs477515	2.246 (1.164-4.333)	0.0159	2.242 (1.113-4.515)	0.0238
rs9272105	1.386 (0.965-1.991)	0.0775	1.266 (0.866-1.849)	0.2232
rs7756516	1.385 (0.765-2.509)	0.2826	1.379 (0.753-2.524)	0.2977
AUC (95%CI)	0.638 (0.579-0.698)	< 0.0001	0.705 (0.648-0.763)	< 0.0001
WGRS	1.644 (1.317-2.052)	< 0.0001	1.567 (1.250-1.965)	< 0.0001
AUC (95%CI)	0.632 (0.573-0.692)	< 0.0001	0.704 (0.646-0.761)	< 0.0001
IDI (95%CI)			0.042 (0.019-0.065)	0.0003
NRI (95%CI)			0.440 (0.236-0.644)	< 0.0001

[1]Each single nucleotide polymorphism was added in the mixed model.

[2]The weighted genetic risk score was added in the mixed model. AUC: Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; GEE: Generalized estimating equation; IDI: Integrated discrimination improvement; NRI: Net reclassification improvement; CI: Confidence interval; HBsAg: Hepatitis B surface antigen; OR: Odds ratio.

persistence was significant if the WGRS was > 7 (Table 2, upper panel). In the genetic model, multivariate GEE analysis found that expression of one SNP (rs9277535) and the WGRS were significantly different between HBsAg carriers and noncarriers, and the differences remained significant in the presence of nongenetic factors (Table 3). Regression analysis showed that HBV-related SNPs were associated with persistent HBV infection in these HCC families. This is the first study to confirm that SNPs identified by GWAS were associated with persistent HBV infection in a family cohort.

Figure 1 Study flow chart. Hepatitis B virus persistent infection and viral load were analyzed in a hepatocellular carcinoma family cohort. HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; HBsAg: Hepatitis B surface antigen.


Figure 2 First-stage persistent hepatitis B virus infection. Genetic, nongenetic, and combined risk factors for persistent hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection were evaluated by area under the receiver operating characteristic curves derived from generalized estimating equation regression models. Significantly higher areas under the curve for nongenetic compared with genetic factors ($P < 0.001$) suggest that nongenetic factors played a major role in persistent HBV infection. AUC: Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve.

Nongenetic factors also affected persistent HBV infection. Age, sex, generation, index HBsAg status, and maternal HBsAg status all differed significantly between HBsAg carriers and noncarriers (Table 1). The AUC was 0.786 in the nongenetic model, 0.620 in the genetic model, and 0.795 in the mixed model (Table 3). The ROC analysis thus implied that nongenetic factors contributed more to persistent HBV infection than genetic factors did (genetic *vs* nongenetic factors $P < 0.0001$ and mixed *vs* nongenetic factors $P < 0.0001$; Figure 2). The results are consistent with exposure to HBV early in life and an important influence on the persistence of HBV infection[5-7]. Our overall findings indicate that the HCC family members may have been exposed to HBV early in life because of the high HBsAg prevalence in the index cases and/or their mothers. Accounting for both genetic and nongenetic cofactors, the prevalence of HBsAg was 41.5% (301/725) in this HCC family cohort.

Mixed model (AUC = 0.704); Non-genetic model (AUC = 0.674); Genetic model (AUC = 0.632)

Figure 3 Second-stage hepatitis B virus viral load. The genetic, nongenetic, and combined risk factors for hepatitis B virus (HBV) viral load were evaluated by area under the receiver operating characteristic curves derived from generalized estimating equation regression models. The difference between the receiver operating characteristic curves of genetic and nongenetic factors was not significant (P = 0.3117). The finding suggests that both factors contributed to the HBV viral load. AUC: Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve.

In the presence of SNPs identified in a GWAS, nongenetic factors remain important in persistent HBV infection. The persistence of infection induced by the SNPs might depend on a delay in clearance of the HBeAg. It is known that in HBsAg carriers, HBeAg clearance occurs earlier in African than in Asian populations[22-25]. That means East Asians of reproductive age are likely to have higher HBV viral loads and a higher rate of perinatal HBV infection of their babies[7,10,22,23]. Perinatal infection usually persists as a chronic infection[7,23]. As African women usually clear HBeAg before reproductive age[24,25], the viral load during pregnancy is likely to be lower than that in East Asians, which would decrease the chance of perinatal HBV infection [24]. We suspect that a prolonged HBV replication phase in parents could be the mechanism of persistent HBV infection associated with SNPs.

Univariate analysis of the factors associated with HBV viral load in the HCC family cohort revealed that three of the five SNPs (rs477515, rs9272105, rs7756516) differed significantly between the high and low viral load groups (Table 4). The cumulative effect of the WGRS was also greater in the high viral load group (Table 3, lower panel). Multivariate GEE analysis found that the rs477515 SNP (OR = 2.242, P = 0.0238) and WGRS (OR = 1.567, P < 0.0001) were independently associated with a high viral load in the mixed model (Table 5). Our data thus support the prevailing view that the SNPs associated with persistent HBV infection promote persistent HBV replication. The mean ages of our study groups ranged from 41.25-45.03 years (Tables 1 and 4). Persistent high viral loads in these age groups were likely to have resulted in perinatal transmission of chronic HBV infection during the reproductive age.

Our previous study demonstrated that nongenetic factors influenced the HBV viral load in HCC families[10]. In this study, we observed that sex, generation, and index HBsAg cases were associated with a high viral load in the nongenetic model (Table 4). We also compared the relative contributions of genetic and nongenetic factors associated with viral load in the HCC family cohort. The AUCs of the viral load were 0.674 in the nongenetic model and 0.632 in the genetic model. The AUC in the mixed model was up to 0.704 (Table 5). Therefore, both genetic and nongenetic factors were associated with HBV viral load in the HCC family cohort. It should be noted that we included only SNPs in the HLA region. The association of other loci, such as polymorphisms of interferon gamma, complement factor B, CD40, and INST10, which have also been reported to be associated with HBV viral load, was not investigated[33-35].

One of the five SNPs we evaluated, rs9277535, was reported by Tao *et al*[36] to be associated with more aggressive liver disease, but it was reported by Li *et al*[37] not to be associated with disease progression. Our previous GWAS revealed that rs9276370 was associated with HBV therapeutic response[17]. Univariate analysis found that the

two SNPs were not significantly associated with viral load in this HCC family cohort. Two previous studies found that rs477515 was associated with HBV vaccine response [38,39], and that SNP was found to be associated with viral load in this cohort. Li *et al* [26] reported that rs9272105 was associated with HCC in a GWAS, and univariate analysis found that it was associated with viral load in this HCC family cohort. All these previous reports suggest that a single SNP provides a small contribution to HBV viral loads. Persistent HBV replication seems to be determined by multiple genetic and nongenetic risk factors.

This study provides information that may help to establish more accurate models of disease through the incorporation of genetic and nongenetic factors, but it was limited by the relatively small number of HCC families. Another limitation was that HBV genotype studies were not available in patients with low viral loads. HBV genotype C has been associated with a lower HBeAg clearance rate than genotype B[40]. We found a high adjusted OR (2.066, $P = 0.0515$) for the association of genotype C with a high viral load relative to a low viral load in this HCC family cohort (Table 4).

CONCLUSION

We conclude that SNPs associated with persistent HBV infection prolong the replication phase in the parent generation and increase the burden of persistent infection in the offspring generation.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Genome-wide association studies (GWASs) in Asian populations indicate that the HLA-DP and HLA-DQ loci are involved in the persistence of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infections. Persistent viral replication and inflammation are key influencers in HBV-related carcinogenesis.

Research motivation

HBV-related single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been identified in east Asian populations but are uncommon in African populations. Different mechanisms may drive persistent infection in those regions.

Research objectives

We examined genetic and nongenetic factors associated with persistent HBV infection and viral load in families with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

Research methods

HCC families were enrolled. Five HBV-related SNPs (rs477515, rs9272105, rs9276370, rs7756516, and rs9277535) were genotyped. Factors associated with persistent HBV infection and viral load were identified with the use of generalized estimating equations.

Research results

In the first-stage persistent HBV study, all SNPs except rs9272105 were associated with persistent infection. A significantly higher contribution of nongenetic than genetic factors ($P < 0.001$) to persistent HBV infection was found. In the second-stage viral load study, sex, relationship with index case, rs477515, rs9272105, and rs7756516 were associated with viral load. Receiver operating characteristic curve, and genetic and nongenetic factors had equal effects on viral load in the HCC family cohort ($P = 0.3117$).

Research conclusions

GWAS identified SNPs that have roles in persistent HBV infection and HBV viral loads in an HCC family cohort. Nongenetic factors were more important than genetic factors in persistent HBV infection but had equal contributions to HBV viral load. HBV-related SNPs resulting in high viral loads in parents may drive persistent infection in East Asian populations. The mechanism of persistent HBV infection-related SNPs involves a prolonged viral replication phase in East Asian adults.

Research perspectives

Termination of the HBV replication phase before pregnancy will be a therapeutic goal in East Asian countries.

REFERENCES

1   **Schweitzer A**, Horn J, Mikolajczyk RT, Krause G, Ott JJ. Estimations of worldwide prevalence of chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a systematic review of data published between 1965 and 2013. *Lancet* 2015; **386**: 1546-1555 [PMID: 26231459 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)61412-X]

2   **Hou J**, Liu Z, Gu F. Epidemiology and Prevention of Hepatitis B Virus Infection. *Int J Med Sci* 2005; **2**: 50-57 [PMID: 15968340 DOI: 10.7150/ijms.2.50]

3   **Kingsley LA**, Rinaldo CR Jr, Lyter DW, Valdiserri RO, Belle SH, Ho M. Sexual transmission efficiency of hepatitis B virus and human immunodeficiency virus among homosexual men. *JAMA* 1990; **264**: 230-234 [PMID: 2192096]

4   **Kane A**, Lloyd J, Zaffran M, Simonsen L, Kane M. Transmission of hepatitis B, hepatitis C and human immunodeficiency viruses through unsafe injections in the developing world: model-based regional estimates. *Bull World Health Organ* 1999; **77**: 801-807 [PMID: 10593027]

5   **Beasley RP**. Rocks along the road to the control of HBV and HCC. *Ann Epidemiol* 2009; **19**: 231-234 [PMID: 19344859 DOI: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2009.01.017]

6   **Edmunds WJ**, Medley GF, Nokes DJ, Hall AJ, Whittle HC. The influence of age on the development of the hepatitis B carrier state. *Proc Biol Sci* 1993; **253**: 197-201 [PMID: 8397416 DOI: 10.1098/rspb.1993.0102]

7   **Burk RD**, Hwang LY, Ho GY, Shafritz DA, Beasley RP. Outcome of perinatal hepatitis B virus exposure is dependent on maternal virus load. *J Infect Dis* 1994; **170**: 1418-1423 [PMID: 7995980 DOI: 10.1093/infdis/170.6.1418]

8   **Sung JL**, Chen DS. Geographical distribution of the subtype of hepatitis B surface antigen in Chinese. *Gastroenterol Jpn* 1977; **12**: 58-63 [PMID: 196971 DOI: 10.1007/BF02773627]

9   **Liu X**, Baecker A, Wu M, Zhou JY, Yang J, Han RQ, Wang PH, Jin ZY, Liu AM, Gu X, Zhang XF, Wang XS, Su M, Hu X, Sun Z, Li G, Fu A, Jung SY, Mu L, He N, Li L, Zhao JK, Zhang ZF. Family history of liver cancer may modify the association between HBV infection and liver cancer in a Chinese population. *Liver Int* 2019; **39**: 1490-1503 [PMID: 31228882 DOI: 10.1111/liv.14182]

10   **Hsieh AR**, Fann CS, Yeh CT, Lin HC, Wan SY, Chen YC, Hsu CL, Tai J, Lin SM, Tai DI. Effects of sex and generation on hepatitis B viral load in families with hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; **23**: 876-884 [PMID: 28223732 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i5.876]

11   **Kamatani Y**, Wattanapokayakit S, Ochi H, Kawaguchi T, Takahashi A, Hosono N, Kubo M, Tsunoda T, Kamatani N, Kumada H, Puseenam A, Sura T, Daigo Y, Chayama K, Chantratita W, Nakamura Y, Matsuda K. A genome-wide association study identifies variants in the HLA-DP locus associated with chronic hepatitis B in Asians. *Nat Genet* 2009; **41**: 591-595 [PMID: 19349983 DOI: 10.1038/ng.348]

12   **Mbarek H**, Ochi H, Urabe Y, Kumar V, Kubo M, Hosono N, Takahashi A, Kamatani Y, Miki D, Abe H, Tsunoda T, Kamatani N, Chayama K, Nakamura Y, Matsuda K. A genome-wide association study of chronic hepatitis B identified novel risk locus in a Japanese population. *Hum Mol Genet* 2011; **20**: 3884-3892 [PMID: 21750111 DOI: 10.1093/hmg/ddr301]

13   **Hu Z**, Liu Y, Zhai X, Dai J, Jin G, Wang L, Zhu L, Yang Y, Liu J, Chu M, Wen J, Xie K, Du G, Wang Q, Zhou Y, Cao M, Liu L, He Y, Wang Y, Zhou G, Jia W, Lu J, Li S, Yang H, Shi Y, Zhou W, Shen H. New loci associated with chronic hepatitis B virus infection in Han Chinese. *Nat Genet* 2013; **45**: 1499-1503 [PMID: 24162738 DOI: 10.1038/ng.2809]

14   **Nishida N**, Sawai H, Matsuura K, Sugiyama M, Ahn SH, Park JY, Hige S, Kang JH, Suzuki K, Kuroski M, Asahina Y, Mochida S, Watanabe M, Tanaka E, Honda M, Kaneko S, Orito E, Itoh Y, Mita E, Tamori A, Murawaki Y, Hiasa Y, Sakaida I, Korenaga M, Hino K, Ide T, Kawashima M, Mawatari Y, Sageshima M, Ogasawara Y, Koike A, Izumi N, Han KH, Tanaka Y, Tokunaga K, Mizokami M. Genome-wide association study confirming association of HLA-DP with protection against chronic hepatitis B and viral clearance in Japanese and Korean. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**: e39175 [PMID: 22737229 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039175]

15   **Kim YJ**, Kim HY, Lee JH, Yu SJ, Yoon JH, Lee HS, Kim CY, Cheong JY, Cho SW, Park NH, Park BL, Namgoong S, Kim LH, Cheong HS, Shin HD. A genome-wide association study identified new variants associated with the risk of chronic hepatitis B. *Hum Mol Genet* 2013; **22**: 4233-4238 [PMID: 23760081 DOI: 10.1093/hmg/ddt266]

16   **Al-Qahtani AA**, Al-Anazi MR, Abdo AA, Sanai FM, Al-Hamoudi W, Alswat KA, Al-Ashgar HI, Khalaf NZ, Eldali AM, Viswan NA, Al-Ahdal MN. Association between HLA variations and chronic hepatitis B virus infection in Saudi Arabian patients. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e80445 [PMID: 24465366 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080445]

17   **Chang SW**, Fann CS, Su WH, Wang YC, Weng CC, Yu CJ, Hsu CL, Hsieh AR, Chien RN, Chu CM, Tai DI. A genome-wide association study on chronic HBV infection and its clinical progression in male Han-Taiwanese. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e99724 [PMID: 24940741 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0099724]

18   **Huang YH**, Liao SF, Khor SS, Lin YJ, Chen HY, Chang YH, Huang YH, Lu SN, Lee HW, Ko WY,

Huang C, Liu PC, Chen YJ, Wu PF, Chu HW, Wu PE, Tokunaga K, Shen CY, Lee MH. Large-scale genome-wide association study identifies HLA class II variants associated with chronic HBV infection: a study from Taiwan Biobank. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2020; **52**: 682-691 [PMID: 32573827 DOI: 10.1111/apt.15887]

19   **Zeng Z**, Liu H, Xu H, Lu H, Yu Y, Xu X, Yu M, Zhang T, Tian X, Xi H, Guan L, Zhang J, O'Brien SJ; HBVstudy consortium. Genome-wide association study identifies new loci associated with risk of HBV infection and disease progression. *BMC Med Genomics* 2021; **14**: 84 [PMID: 33736632 DOI: 10.1186/s12920-021-00907-0]

20   **Tai DI**, Jeng WJ, Lin CY. A global perspective on hepatitis B-related single nucleotide polymorphisms and evolution during human migration. *Hepatol Commun* 2017; **1**: 1005-1013 [PMID: 29404438 DOI: 10.1002/hep4.1113]

21   **Tai DI**, Tai J. The role of genetic factors in HBV-related HCC: perspectives from local genetic backgrounds and clinical epidemiology. *Hepatoma Res* 2020; **6**: 74 [DOI: 10.20517/2394-5079.2020.54]

22   **Chang MH**, Hsu HY, Hsu HC, Ni YH, Chen JS, Chen DS. The significance of spontaneous hepatitis B e antigen seroconversion in childhood: with special emphasis on the clearance of hepatitis B e antigen before 3 years of age. *Hepatology* 1995; **22**: 1387-1392 [PMID: 7590652]

23   **Chang MH**, Sung JL, Lee CY, Chen CJ, Chen JS, Hsu HY, Lee PI, Chen DS. Factors affecting clearance of hepatitis B e antigen in hepatitis B surface antigen carrier children. *J Pediatr* 1989; **115**: 385-390 [PMID: 2769497 DOI: 10.1016/s0022-3476(89)80836-4]

24   **Hadziyannis SJ**. Natural history of chronic hepatitis B in Euro-Mediterranean and African countries. *J Hepatol* 2011; **55**: 183-191 [PMID: 21238520 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2010.12.030]

25   **Iorio R**, Giannattasio A, Cirillo F, D' Alessandro L, Vegnente A. Long-term outcome in children with chronic hepatitis B: a 24-year observation period. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; **45**: 943-949 [PMID: 17879906 DOI: 10.1086/521864]

26   **Li S**, Qian J, Yang Y, Zhao W, Dai J, Bei JX, Foo JN, McLaren PJ, Li Z, Yang J, Shen F, Liu L, Li S, Pan S, Wang Y, Li W, Zhai X, Zhou B, Shi L, Chen X, Chu M, Yan Y, Wang J, Cheng S, Shen J, Jia W, Liu J, Wen Z, Li A, Zhang Y, Zhang G, Luo X, Qin H, Chen M, Wang H, Jin L, Lin D, Shen H, He L, de Bakker PI, Zeng YX, Wu M, Hu Z, Shi Y, Zhou W. GWAS identifies novel susceptibility loci on 6p21.32 and 21q21.3 for hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B virus carriers. *PLoS Genet* 2012; **8**: e1002791 [PMID: 22807686 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002791]

27   **Horvath S**, Xu X, Laird NM. The family based association test method: strategies for studying general genotype--phenotype associations. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2001; **9**: 301-306 [PMID: 11313775 DOI: 10.1038/sj.ejhg.5200625]

28   **Miyake K**, Yang W, Hara K, Yasuda K, Horikawa Y, Osawa H, Furuta H, Ng MC, Hirota Y, Mori H, Ido K, Yamagata K, Hinokio Y, Oka Y, Iwasaki N, Iwamoto Y, Yamada Y, Seino Y, Maegawa H, Kashiwagi A, Wang HY, Tanahashi T, Nakamura N, Takeda J, Maeda E, Yamamoto K, Tokunaga K, Ma RC, So WY, Chan JC, Kamatani N, Makino H, Nanjo K, Kadowaki T, Kasuga M. Construction of a prediction model for type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Japanese population based on 11 genes with strong evidence of the association. *J Hum Genet* 2009; **54**: 236-241 [PMID: 19247372 DOI: 10.1038/jhg.2009.17]

29   **Song YM**, Sung J, Yang S, Choe YH, Chang YS, Park WS. Factors associated with immunoprophylaxis failure against vertical transmission of hepatitis B virus. *Eur J Pediatr* 2007; **166**: 813-818 [PMID: 17120036 DOI: 10.1007/s00431-006-0327-5]

30   **Ding K**, Bailey KR, Kullo IJ. Genotype-informed estimation of risk of coronary heart disease based on genome-wide association data linked to the electronic medical record. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2011; **11**: 66 [PMID: 22151179 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2261-11-66]

31   **Pencina MJ**, D'Agostino RB Sr, Steyerberg EW. Extensions of net reclassification improvement calculations to measure usefulness of new biomarkers. *Stat Med* 2011; **30**: 11-21 [PMID: 21204120 DOI: 10.1002/sim.4085]

32   **Pencina MJ**, D'Agostino RB Sr, D'Agostino RB Jr, Vasan RS. Evaluating the added predictive ability of a new marker: from area under the ROC curve to reclassification and beyond. *Stat Med* 2008; **27**: 157-172; discussion 207-212 [PMID: 17569110 DOI: 10.1002/sim.2929]

33   **Ben Selma W**, Laribi AB, Alibi S, Boukadida J. Association of an IFN-γ variant with susceptibility to chronic hepatitis B by the enhancement of HBV DNA replication. *Cytokine* 2021; **143**: 155525 [PMID: 33896709 DOI: 10.1016/j.cyto.2021.155525]

34   **Jiang DK**, Ma XP, Yu H, Cao G, Ding DL, Chen H, Huang HX, Gao YZ, Wu XP, Long XD, Zhang H, Zhang Y, Gao Y, Chen TY, Ren WH, Zhang P, Shi Z, Jiang W, Wan B, Saiyin H, Yin J, Zhou YF, Zhai Y, Lu PX, Gu X, Tan A, Wang JB, Zuo XB, Sun LD, Liu JO, Yi Q, Mo Z, Zhou G, Liu Y, Sun J, Shugart YY, Zheng SL, Zhang XJ, Xu J, Yu L. Genetic variants in five novel loci including CFB and CD40 predispose to chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2015; **62**: 118-128 [PMID: 25802187 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27794]

35   **Li Y**, Si L, Zhai Y, Hu Y, Hu Z, Bei JX, Xie B, Ren Q, Cao P, Yang F, Song Q, Bao Z, Zhang H, Han Y, Wang Z, Chen X, Xia X, Yan H, Wang R, Zhang Y, Gao C, Meng J, Tu X, Liang X, Cui Y, Liu Y, Wu X, Li Z, Wang H, Hu B, He M, Gao Z, Xu X, Ji H, Yu C, Sun Y, Xing B, Yang X, Tan A, Wu C, Jia W, Li S, Zeng YX, Shen H, He F, Mo Z, Zhou G. Genome-wide association study identifies 8p21.3 associated with persistent hepatitis B virus infection among Chinese. *Nat Commun* 2016; **7**: 11664 [PMID: 27244555 DOI: 10.1038/ncomms11664]

36   **Tao J**, Su K, Yu C, Liu X, Wu W, Xu W, Jiang B, Luo R, Yao J, Zhou J, Zhan Y, Ye C, Yuan W,

Jiang X, Cui W, Li MD, Li L. Fine mapping analysis of HLA-DP/DQ gene clusters on chromosome 6 reveals multiple susceptibility loci for HBV infection. *Amino Acids* 2015; **47**: 2623-2634 [PMID: 26197724 DOI: 10.1007/s00726-015-2054-6]

37   **Li J**, Yang D, He Y, Wang M, Wen Z, Liu L, Yao J, Matsuda K, Nakamura Y, Yu J, Jiang X, Sun S, Liu Q, Song Q, Chen M, Yang H, Tang F, Hu X, Wang J, Chang Y, He X, Chen Y, Lin J. Associations of HLA-DP variants with hepatitis B virus infection in southern and northern Han Chinese populations: a multicenter case-control study. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e24221 [PMID: 21904616 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0024221]

38   **Pan L**, Zhang L, Zhang W, Wu X, Li Y, Yan B, Zhu X, Liu X, Yang C, Xu J, Zhou G, Xu A, Li H, Liu Y. A genome-wide association study identifies polymorphisms in the HLA-DR region associated with non-response to hepatitis B vaccination in Chinese Han populations. *Hum Mol Genet* 2014; **23**: 2210-2219 [PMID: 24282030 DOI: 10.1093/hmg/ddt586]

39   **Deng Y**, Li P, Liu W, Pu R, Yang F, Song J, Yin J, Han X, Li C, Zhao J, Wang H, Cao G. The genetic polymorphism down-regulating HLA-DRB1 enhancer activity facilitates HBV persistence, evolution and hepatocarcinogenesis in the Chinese Han population. *J Viral Hepat* 2020; **27**: 1150-1161 [PMID: 32568442 DOI: 10.1111/jvh.13353]

40   **Kao JH**, Chen PJ, Lai MY, Chen DS. Hepatitis B virus genotypes and spontaneous hepatitis B e antigen seroconversion in Taiwanese hepatitis B carriers. *J Med Virol* 2004; **72**: 363-369 [PMID: 14748059 DOI: 10.1002/jmv.10534]