

---

# CONTENTS

<b>Preface and Acknowledgements</b> . . . . .	i
<b>Contents</b> . . . . .	iii
<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
<b>1. A Computational Approach to Group Planning</b> . . . . .	9
1.1 Toward a model of dialogue . . . . .	9
1.2 Why participatory design? . . . . .	11
1.2.1 Movement of participatory design . . . . .	11
1.2.2 Current views of design participation . . . . .	13
1.2.3 Problems of participatory design . . . . .	14
1.3 An interdisciplinary approach . . . . .	15
1.3.1 Basic assumptions . . . . .	16
1.3.2 Theoretical framework . . . . .	18
1.3.3 Case-study approach . . . . .	27
1.4 Concluding remarks . . . . .	31
<b>2. A Case Study</b> . . . . .	33
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	33
2.1.1 The development of adequacy criteria for a participatory design method . . . . .	34
2.1.2 Preliminary observations of the project . . . . .	35
2.1.3 The method applied to the workshop . . . . .	37
2.2 Design workshop . . . . .	38
2.2.1 Section 1: site experiencing . . . . .	39
2.2.2 Section 2: the collective diary . . . . .	41
2.2.3 Section 3: group design . . . . .	43
2.2.4 Development of the design . . . . .	45
2.3 Product . . . . .	47
2.4 Analysis . . . . .	51
2.4.1 Some observations related to methodological	

51	problems
54	2.4.2 Some basic concepts of a new method
56	2.4.3 Adequacy criteria for a new method
57	2.5 Concluding remarks

59	3.1 Historical parameters of the participatory design method
59	3.1.1 Beginning of the participatory design movement in North America
61	3.1.2 Criticism of existing top-down approaches
62	3.2 Participatory design movement
66	3.2.1 Phase one: the quality of life and social justice
66	3.2.2 Phase two: the improvement of the built environment
68	3.2.3 Phase three: the cooperation between users and designers
69	3.3 Some methodological problems
70	3.3.1 The user-as-designer myth
71	3.3.2 Ill-structured information flow
73	3.3.3 Result-oriented process
77	3.3.4 Paradigm of the two characterized groups
78	3.4 Analysis
80	3.4.1 Problem-solving and defining
80	3.4.2 Conflict resolution in participatory design
84	3.4.3 Structuring design dialogue
86	3.5 Concluding remarks

91	4. A Group-Reasoning Model
91	4.1 Group-reasoning in participatory design
92	4.1.1 Definitions of the group
94	4.1.2 Orientations of the group
96	4.1.3 Dialogues as the medium and as the product
96	4.1.4 Group acts
101	4.2 Conflict resolution

4.2.1	The structure of conflict . . . . .	102
4.2.2	The atlas of conflict resolution . . . . .	107
4.2.3	Means-ends analysis . . . . .	111
4.2.4	Locations of conflict . . . . .	115
4.3	Cognitive structures . . . . .	118
4.3.1	Collective normative descriptions . . . . .	119
4.3.2	Structures of arguments . . . . .	125
4.3.3	Kernel of architectural knowledge . . . . .	127
4.3.4	A model of collective concepts . . . . .	130
4.4	Concluding remarks . . . . .	133
<b>5. A</b>	<b>Dialogical System of Participatory Design . . . . .</b>	<b>137</b>
5.1	Supporting the dialogue interaction in group planning . . . . .	137
5.1.1	Structuring design dialogue . . . . .	138
5.1.2	Group decision support systems (GDSS) . . . . .	140
5.1.3	Structure of the Dialogical System . . . . .	142
5.2	Distributed system . . . . .	146
5.2.1	Intelligence of the FACILITATOR . . . . .	146
5.2.2	Distributed information-processing system . . . . .	151
5.3	Dialogical system in use . . . . .	152
5.3.1	Computer environment of DIPS tool . . . . .	153
5.3.2	Development of the dialogical system . . . . .	154
5.4	Concluding remarks . . . . .	157
<b>6. A</b>	<b>Framework for Participation-Based Design</b>	
	<b>Guidelines . . . . .</b>	<b>161</b>
6.1	Participatory design discourse . . . . .	161
6.1.1	Domain problems . . . . .	162
6.1.2	The output of participatory design processes . . . . .	164
6.1.3	The task of participatory design . . . . .	166
6.2	Information management for design . . . . .	167
6.2.1	Types of design guidelines . . . . .	168
6.2.2	Problems of design guidelines . . . . .	173
6.2.3	Searching for a dialogical structure . . . . .	176
6.3	A framework for PBDG . . . . .	178
6.3.1	The kernel of participatory design knowledge . . . . .	179

Appendix A: Hoe-Ju-Wae Community Design	251
Appendix B: Arthur Andersen Project	267
<b>8. Evaluation of the Method and Further Development</b>	
8.1 A study of a computational model	225
8.1.1 General applicability	226
8.1.2 The limitations and the improvements	229
8.2 A meta-system as a dialogical tool	233
8.2.1 From the reflective to the dialogical thinking	234
8.2.2 A dialogical tool to support design discussion	240
8.3 Future applications and extension of computerization	246
8.4 Conclusion	248
<b>7. A Test Case</b>	
7.1 Test case methodology	213
7.2 Testing an application of the method	215
7.2.1 Design dialogue	215
7.2.2 Structuring the design dialogue	217
7.3 Testing the adequacy criteria of a participatory design method	220
7.4 Concluding remarks	222
6.3.2 Properties of design statements	181
6.3.3 Factual descriptions	185
6.3.4 Context	188
6.3.5 Types of question	194
6.3.6 Relations	196
6.4 PBDG tool	198
6.4.1 The representation of joint belief systems	198
6.4.2 Three steps of a semantic representation	199
6.4.3 PBDG constructs	201
6.5 An example of structuring a set of PBDGs	204
6.6 Concluding remarks	209

<b>References</b> . . . . .	283
<b>Summary</b> . . . . .	295
<b>Samenvatting</b> . . . . .	297
<b>Index</b> . . . . .	299
<b>About the Author</b> . . . . .	303