行政院國家科學委員會專題研究計畫 成果報告

二階多點界邊值函數的正解存在性 研究成果報告(精簡版)

計畫類別:個別型

計畫編號: NSC 96-2115-M-032-005-

執 行 期 間 : 96年08月01日至97年07月31日

執 行 單 位 : 淡江大學數學系

計畫主持人:錢傳仁

計畫參與人員: 此計畫無其他參與人員

處 理 方 式 : 本計畫可公開查詢

中 華 民 國 98年05月06日

Positive solutions of nonlinear second-order m-point boundary value problem

Tsorng Hwa Chang⁽¹⁾, Chuan Jen Chyan⁽²⁾ and Shang Wen Lin⁽³⁾

Abstract

Under suitable conditions on f(t, u), the nonlinear second-order m-point boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + f(t, u(t)) = 0$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\xi_i)$$

has at least one positive solution.

1. Introduction

The study of multipoint boundary value problems for linear second-order ordinary value problems was initialed by Ilin and Moiseer [1, 2]. Then Gupta [5] studied three-point boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations. We refer the reader to [3–10], for some recent results of nonlinear multipoint boundary value problems.

In this paper, we investigate the existence of positive solutions to nonlinear secondorder m-point boundary value problem:

$$u''(t) + f(t, u(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1$$
 (1.1)

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\xi_i)$$
(1.2)

where $a_i \ge 0$ for i = 1, ..., m-3 and $a_{m-2} > 0$, ξ_i satisfy $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_{m-2} < 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i < 1$. We also make the following assumptions.

$$(A_1)$$
 $f \in C([0,1] \times [0,\infty); [0,\infty)), f \not\equiv 0.$

- (A₂) $\exists H_1, H_2$ satisfy $f(s, u) \leq M_1 H_1$ on $[0, 1] \times [0, H_1]$ and $f(s, u) \geq M_2 H_2$ on $[\xi_{m-2}, 1] \times [\Gamma H_2, \infty)$, where $M_1 = 2(1 \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i)$ and $M_2 = \frac{2(1 \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i)}{(1 \xi_{m-2})^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i}$ or
- $(A_2') \ \exists H_3, H_4 > 0 \text{ such that } f(s, u) \ge M_2 H_3 \text{ on } [\xi_{m-2}, 1] \times [0, \Gamma H_3] \text{ and } f(s, u) \le M_1 H_4 \text{ on } [0, 1] \times [H_4, \infty).$

The proof of the main result in this article is based on an application of the following well-known Guo-Krasioselskii fixed-point theorem [11].

Theorem 1.1. Let E be a Banach space and $\mathcal{K} \subset E$ be a cone in E. Assume Ω_1 and Ω_2 are open subset of E with $0 \in \Omega_1$ and $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$, $T : \mathcal{K} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \backslash \Omega_1) \to \mathcal{K}$ be a completely continuous operator such that

- (i) $||Tu|| \le ||u||$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_1$ and $||Tu|| \ge ||u||$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_2$; or
- (ii) $||Tu|| \le ||u||$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_2$ and $||Tu|| \ge ||u||$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_1$.

Then T has a fixed point in $\mathcal{K} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \backslash \Omega_1)$.

2. The Preliminary Lemmas

Lemma 2.1 (Gupta et al. [5]). Let $a_i \ge 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m-2, and $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i \ne 1$; then for $y \in C[0, 1]$, the boundary value problem:

$$u''(t) + y(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$u(0) = (0), \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\xi_i),$$
 (2.2)

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \frac{t}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\xi_i)} \int_0^1 (1 - s) y(s) ds - \int_0^t (t - s) y(s) ds - \frac{t}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\xi_i)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s) y(s) ds.$$

Let $C^+[0,1]$ be the set of nonnegative function in C[0,1].

Lemma 2.2 (Ma [9]). Let $a_i \geq 0$, for i = 1, 2, ..., m-2 and $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i < 1$. Then for $y \in C^+[0, 1]$, the unique solution u(t) of (2.1), (2.2) is nonnegative and satisfies

$$\min_{t \in [\xi_{m-2},1]} u(t) \ge \Gamma \|u\|$$

where

$$\Gamma = \min \left\{ \frac{a_{m-2}(1 - \xi_{m-2})}{1 - a_{m-2}\xi_{m-2}}, \ a_{m-2}\xi_{m-2}, \ \xi_1 \right\}.$$

3. Main results

In this section we show the existence of positive solution for the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2).

Theorem 3.1. Suppose (A_1) , (A_2) hold, then (1.1), (1.2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \Big\{ y : y \in C[0, 1], \ y \ge 0, \ \min_{\xi_{m-2} < t < 1} y(t) \ge \Gamma \|y\| \Big\}.$$

where Γ is described as in Lemma 2.2. It is clear that \mathcal{K} is a cone. Define an integral operator $A: \mathcal{K} \to C^+[0,1]$.

$$A(y(t)) = -\int_0^t (t-s)f(s,y(s))ds$$
$$-t\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s)f(s,y(s))ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i} + t\frac{\int_0^1 (1-s)f(s,y(s))ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i}$$

Now (1.2), (1.2) has a solution y = y(t) if and only if y solves the operator equation A(y(t)) = y(t). It is clear that $A\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. The details of the proof can be founded in [9]. It is routine to check that A is a completely continuous operator on \mathcal{K} . Let $\Omega_1 = \{y \in C[0,1] : ||y|| < H_1\}$ and choose $y \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $||y|| = H_1$, then we see that

$$Ay(t) \leq \frac{t \int_0^1 (1-s)f(s,y(s))ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i}$$

$$\leq \frac{t \int_0^1 (1-s)M_1 H_1 ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i}$$

$$= \frac{M_1 H_1 \cdot \frac{1}{2}}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i}$$

$$= H_1.$$

Thus, we have $||Ay|| \le ||y||$ on $\partial\Omega_1 \cap \mathcal{K}$. Now, let $\Omega_2 = \{y \in C[0,1] : ||y|| < H_2\}$ and $y \in \partial\Omega_2 \cap \mathcal{K}$, that is, $||y|| = H_2$, according to Lemma 2.1 there exists a unique solution

of

$$u''(t) + f(t, y(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1)$$
(3.1)

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\xi_i)$$
 (3.2)

Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} u(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\xi_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \left[-\int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s) f(s, y(s)) ds - \xi_i \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s) f(s, y(s)) ds}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j \xi_j} \right. \\ &\quad + \xi_i \frac{\int_0^1 (1 - s) f(s, y(s)) ds}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j \xi_j} \right] \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s) f(s, y(s)) ds \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i \right) \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s) f(s, y(s)) ds}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j \xi_j} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i \right) \frac{\int_0^1 (1 - s) f(s, y(s)) ds}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j \xi_j} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j \xi_j} \left[-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s) f(s, y(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j \xi_j \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s) f(s, y(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s) f(s, y(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_j \xi_j \right) \left[-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i \int_0^{\xi_i} f(s, y(s)) ds + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i \int_0^{\xi_i} s f(s, y(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i \int_0^{1} f(s, y(s)) ds - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i \int_0^{1} s f(s, y(s)) ds \right. \end{split}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_{j} \xi_{j}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \xi_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{1} f(s, y(s)) ds - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \xi_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{1} s f(s, y(s)) ds \right]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_{j} \xi_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \xi_{i} \int_{\xi_{m-2}}^{1} (1 - s) f(s, y(s)) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \xi_{i} \int_{\xi_{m-2}}^{1} (1 - s) M_{2} H_{2} ds}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} a_{j} \xi_{j}}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \xi_{i}}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \xi_{i}} \left(\frac{1 - \xi_{m-2}}{2}\right)^{2} \cdot M_{2} H_{2}$$

$$= H_{2}.$$

Hence $||Ay|| \ge |Ay(1)| = |u(1)| \ge H_2 = ||y||$. $||Ay|| \ge ||y||$ on $\partial\Omega_2 \cap \mathcal{K}$. Therefore, by (i) of the fixed-point theorem, it follows that A has a fixed point in $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose (A_1) and (A'_2) hold, then (1.1), (1.2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof. Let $\Omega_3 = \{ y \in C[0,1] : ||y|| < H_3 \}$. Thus, if $y \in K$ and $||y|| = H_3$, we get

$$u(1) \geq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i \int_{\xi_{m-2}}^{1} (1 - s) f(s, y(s)) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i \right) M_2 H_3 \int_{\xi_{m-2}}^{1} (1 - s) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i \right) \frac{(1 - \xi_{m-2})^2}{2} M_2 H_3$$

$$= H_3$$

Hence, $||Ay|| \ge |Ay(1)| = |u(1)| \ge H_3$, for all $y \in \partial \Omega_3 \cap \mathcal{K}$. Now let $\Omega_4 = \{y \in C[0, 1] : ||y|| > H_4\}$. For $y \in K$ and $||y|| = H_4$, we get

$$Ay(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} (t-s)f(s,y(s))ds - t \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \int_{0}^{\xi_{i}} (\xi_{i}-s)f(s,y(s))ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\xi_{i}} + t \frac{\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)f(s,y(s))ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\xi_{i}} \\ \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)f(s,y(s))ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\xi_{i}}$$

$$\leq \frac{M_1 H_4 \int_0^1 (1-s) ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i}$$

$$= M_1 H_4 \cdot \frac{1}{2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i)}$$

$$= H_4$$

Hence, $||Ay|| \le H_4 = ||y||$ on $\partial \Omega_4 \cap K$.

4. Remarks

Remark 4.1. Recently, Ma [9] considered the second-order m-point boundary value problem.

$$u''(t) + a(t)g(u) = 0, \quad t \in (0,1)$$
(4.1)

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\xi_i)$$
 (4.2)

and gave the following result.

Theorem 4.1. Assume

 (A_3) $g \in C([0,\infty),[0,\infty))$ and both limits

$$g_0 := \lim_{u \to 0^+} \frac{g(u)}{u}, \quad g_\infty := \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{g(u)}{u}$$

exist.

 (A_4) $a \in C([0,1],[0,\infty))$, and there exists $x_0 \in [\xi_{m-2},1]$ such that $a(x_0) > 0$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i < 1$.

Then problem (4.1), (4.2) has at least one positive solution in the case (i) $g_0 = 0$ and $g_{\infty} = \infty$ or (ii) $g_0 = \infty$ and $g_{\infty} = 0$.

Put f(t, u) = a(t)g(u) in (1.1). Assumptions (A_1) and (A_2) will be fulfilled if the conditions (A_3) , (A_4) together with case (i) are satisfied. Similarly, assumption (A_1) and (A'_2) will be fulfilled if the conditions (A_3) , (A_4) together with case (ii) are satisfied.

Remark 4.2. Y. Sun [10] considered the following boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + \lambda a(t)g(u) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1)$$
(4.3)

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i u(\xi_i)$$
 (4.4)

where λ is a positive parameter, $a_i \geq 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m-3 and $a_{m-2} > 0$, ξ_i satisfy $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots, \xi_{m-2} < 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i < 1$. The author gave the following result.

Theorem 4.2. Assume

- (c_1) $a \in C([0,\infty),[0,\infty))$ and there exists $x_0 \in [\xi_{m-2},1]$ such that $a(x_0) > 0$.
- (c_2) $g \in C([0,1],[0,\infty))$ and there exists nonnegative constants in the extended reals, g_0, g_∞ , such that

$$g_0 := \lim_{u \to 0^+} \frac{g(u)}{u}, \quad g_\infty := \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{g(u)}{u}.$$

Let $A = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)ds$, $B = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i)\xi_1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_i \xi_i} \int_{\xi_{m-2}}^1 (1-s)a(s)ds$. The problem (4.3), (4.4) has at least one solution in the case

- (i) If $Ag_0 < \Gamma Bg_{\infty}$, then for each $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{\Gamma Bg_{\infty}}, \frac{1}{Ag_0})$, the BVP (4.3), (4.4) has at least one positive solution.
- (ii) If $g_0 = 0$ and $g_\infty = \infty$, i.e., g is super linear, then for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, the BVP (4.3), (4.4) has at least one positive solution.
- (iii) If $g_{\infty} = \infty$, $0 < g_0 < \infty$, then for each $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{Ag_0})$, the BVP (4.3), (4.4) has at least one positive solution.
- (iv) If $g_0 = 0$, $0 < g_{\infty} < \infty$, then for each $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{\Gamma B g_{\infty}}, \infty)$ the BVP (4.3), (4.4) has at least one positive solution.

Now if we put $g(u) = 1 + \sin^2 u$ and $a(t) \equiv 1$ in (4.3), it is easy to see that both g_0 and g_{∞} do not exist, thus we fail to discuss such a problem by applying Theorem 4.2.

On the other hand, if we put $f(t,u) = \lambda(1+\sin^2 u)$ in (1.1), then for all positive numbers a and b, $f(t,u) \leq 2\lambda$ on $[0,1] \times [0,a]$ and $f(t,u) \geq \lambda$ on $[0,1] \times (b,\infty)$. Thus, the existence of at least one positive solution is guaranteed by the Theorem 3.2.

References

- [1] V. A. Il'in, E. I. Moiseev, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the first kind for a Sturm liouville operator on its differential and finite difference aspects, Diff. Equ. 23(7) (1987), 803–810.
- [2] V. A. Il'in, E. I. Moiseev, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the second kind for a Sturm Liouville operator, Diff. Equ. 23(8) (1987), 979–987.
- [3] W. Feng and J. R. L. Webb, Solvability of a m-point boundary value problems with nonlinear growth, J. Math. Anal. **212** (1997), 467–480.
- [4] W. Feng, On a m-point nonlinear boundary value problem, Nonlinear Analysis TMA **30**(6) (1997), 5369–5374.
- [5] C. P. Gupta, Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem for a second order ordinary differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 168 (1992), 5450–5551.
- [6] C. P. Gupta, S. K. Ntouyas and P. Ch. Tsamatos, On a m-point boundary value problem for second order differential equations, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 23(11) (1994), 1427–1436.
- [7] W. L. Lian, F. H. Wong, C. C. Yeh, On the existence of positive solutions of nonlinear second order differential equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 1117–1126.
- [8] R. Ma, Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem, Electron. J. Differential Equations **34** (1998), 1–8.
- [9] R. Ma, Positive solutions of a nonlinear m-poit boundary value problem, Comput. Math. Appl. **42**(6/7) (2001), 755–765.
- [10] Y. Sun, Positive solutions of nonlinear second-order m-point boundary value problem, Nonlinear Analysis **61** (2005), 1283–1294.
- [11] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1998.

Department of Mathematics

Tamkang University

- $^{(1)}892150052@s92.tku.edu.tw$
- (2)chuanjen@mail,tku.edu.tw
- $^{(3)}893150044@s93.tku.edu.tw$