

目 錄

中文提要.....	I
英文提要.....	II
圖目錄.....	VI
表目錄.....	IX
第一章 前 言.....	1
1-1 研究緣起與目的.....	1
1-2 研究內容.....	2
第二章 文獻回顧.....	3
2-1 焚化飛灰特性.....	3
2-1-1 物理特性.....	3
2-1-2 化學特性.....	4
2-1-3 重金屬含量、萃取及溶出特性.....	4
2-2 機械化學之研究.....	6
2-2-1 機械化學定義.....	6
2-2-2 機械化學的作用.....	7
2-2-3 機械化學的機制.....	9
2-2-4 研磨穩定重金屬之研究.....	13
2-3 磷酸穩定重金屬之研究.....	15
2-4 燒結之研究.....	17
2-4-1 燒結原理.....	17
2-4-2 影響因子.....	17
2-4-3 燒結資源化之研究.....	18
第三章 研究方法、材料與設備.....	20
3-1 研究方法與流程.....	20
3-1-1 水草混合飛灰濕式研磨參數試驗.....	20
3-1-2 水草混合飛灰濕式研磨穩定重金屬之研究.....	22
3-1-3 水草反應灰濕式研磨穩定重金屬之研究.....	24
3-1-4 研磨對飛灰燒結再利用影響之研究.....	24
3-1-5 研究流程.....	26
3-2 實驗材料、藥品.....	27
3-2-1 實驗材料.....	27
3-2-2 實驗藥品.....	28
3-3 實驗設備.....	29
3-4 分析方法.....	31
3-5 分析設備.....	35
第四章 濕式研磨對焚化飛灰粉體特性影響及重金屬穩定之探討.....	37

4-1 濕式研磨參數試驗	37
4-1-1 鍋爐灰、反應灰及水草混合飛灰特性分析	37
4-1-2 研磨粉體的粒徑 d_{50} 變化	42
4-1-3 研磨粉體的微觀特性分析	43
4-1-4 研磨粉體的 XRD 分析	45
4-2 水草混合飛灰之研磨穩定探討	54
4-2-1 重金屬鉛穩定現象	54
4-2-2 重金屬鉛穩定之可能機制	56
4-3 水草混合飛灰添加氧化鉛之研磨穩定探討	62
4-3-1 研磨液及 TCLP 萃出液 pH 變化	62
4-3-2 研磨液鉛濃度變化	64
4-3-3 研磨灰 TCLP 鉛溶出變化	65
4-3-4 研磨穩定鉛效率計算	65
4-3-5 鋅、銅、鉻、鎘研磨穩定現象	68
4-3-6 研磨灰 XRD 分析	76
4-4 水草反應灰之研磨穩定探討	81
4-4-1 反應灰及水草反應灰基本特性分析	81
4-4-2 水草液及研磨液重金屬濃度變化	84
4-4-3 研磨灰 TCLP 重金屬溶出變化及序列萃取結果	84
4-4-4 質量平衡分析結果	87
第五章 濕式研磨提升飛灰以燒結再利用效果之探討	89
5-1 燒結原料特性分析	89
5-2 調質燒結實驗結果	92
5-2-1 燒結體抗壓強度	92
5-2-2 燒結體健度	93
5-3 研磨對燒結體工程特性的影響	94
5-3-1 研磨後的粉體特性變化	94
5-3-2 燒結體抗壓強度	95
5-3-3 燒結體健度	97
5-3-4 燒結體體積收縮率	98
5-3-5 燒結體燒失量	99
5-3-6 燒結體 XRD 分析	100
5-3-7 燒結體 SEM 微觀分析	105
5-3-8 燒結體重金屬 TCLP 溶出及揮發	112
第六章 結論與建議	118
6-1 結論	118
6-2 建議	119
參考文獻	120

圖目錄

Fig. 2-1 Ball-powder-ball collision of powder mixture during mechanical alloying. (Suryanarayana 2001)	11
Fig. 2-2 Schematic illustration of activation energy. (Lu and Lai 1995)	12
Fig. 2-3 Dependence of D on surface, grain boundary and lattice diffusion at different temperatures. (Lu, Lai et al. 1997)	13
Fig. 3-1 The diagram of milling situation at critical rotation speed	22
Fig. 3-2 Flow chart of the research	27
Fig. 3-3 Ball milling machine	29
Fig. 4-1 Particle size distribution of raw fly ash and water-extracted ash	38
Fig. 4-2 XRD results for (a) boiler ash, (b) reaction ash and (c) water-extracted ash	41
Fig. 4-3 Median particle size after the various grinding periods with 93 rpm rotation (a) water (b) ethanol as the milling solution.	43
Fig. 4-4 SEM observation results of ground fly ash with 5 mm milling balls by 10 kX magnification (a) water, 24 h, (b) water, 96 h, (c) ethanol, 24 h, (d) ethanol, 96 h.	44
Fig. 4-5 SEM observation results of ground fly ash with 5 mm milling balls by 100 kX magnification (a) water, 24 h, (b) water, 96 h, (c) ethanol, 24 h, (d) ethanol, 96 h.	45
Fig. 4-6 XRD patterns of milled samples which used water as milling solution. (a) all 5 mm, (b) all 15 mm, (c) 2:1, (d) 1:2, and (e) 1:1.	48
Fig. 4-7 XRD patterns of milled samples which used ethanol as milling solution. (a) all 5 mm, (b) all 15 mm, (c) 2:1, (d) 1:2, and (e) 1:1.	51
Fig. 4-8 Change in X-ray amorphization degree of water-extracted fly ash with grinding time. (a) water, (b) ethanol.....	53
Fig. 4-9 SEP results for water-extracted ash after the various grinding periods: (a) water, (b) ethanol.....	56
Fig. 4-10 Median particle size after the various grinding periods.	57
Fig. 4-11 Particle-size distribution after the various grinding periods which use water as milling solution with combination of 1:1 milling ball: (a) 0-2h; (b) 2-24h; (c) 24-96h.	58
Fig. 4-12 Particle-size distribution after the various grinding periods which use ethanol as milling solution with combination of 1:1 milling ball: (a) 0-2h; (b) 2-8h; (c) 24-96h.	59
Fig. 4-13 SEM observation results of ground fly ash which used water as milling solution with combination of 1:1 milling balls for: (a) 0 h, water-extracted mixed fly ash at 5kx;(b) 24 h at 5kx;(c) 96 h at 5kx;(d) 0 h, water-extracted mixed fly	

ash at 50kx; (e) 24 h at 50kx;(f) 96 h at 50kx.	60
Fig. 4-14 The pH of the different milling solutions (ethanol, water, 0.02M and 0.2M H ₃ PO ₄) during the milling process.	63
Fig. 4-15 The pH of the different extracted solutions (ethanol, water, 0.02M and 0.2M H ₃ PO ₄) after TCLP for the milled samples of different milling time.	63
Fig. 4-16 The efficiency of the treatment for Pb in fly ash with different milling solutions (ethanol, water, 0.02M H ₃ PO ₄ and 0.2M H ₃ PO ₄) at different milling times.	67
Fig. 4-17 SEP results of Pb for fly ash ball milled with (a) ethanol; (b) water; (c) 0.02M and (d) 0.2M H ₃ PO ₄ milling solutions, at different milling times.	68
Fig. 4-18 SEP results of Zn for fly ash ball milled with (a) ethanol; (b) water; (c) 0.02M and (d) 0.2M H ₃ PO ₄ milling solutions, at different milling times.	74
Fig. 4-19 SEP results of Cu for fly ash ball milled with (a) ethanol; (b) water; (c) 0.02M and (d) 0.2M H ₃ PO ₄ milling solutions, at different milling times.	74
Fig. 4-20 SEP results of Cr for fly ash ball milled with (a) ethanol; (b) water; (c) 0.02M and (d) 0.2M H ₃ PO ₄ milling solutions, at different milling times.	75
Fig. 4-21 SEP results of Cd for fly ash ball milled with (a) ethanol; (b) water; (c) 0.02M and (d) 0.2M H ₃ PO ₄ milling solutions, at different milling times.	75
Fig. 4-22 XRD results for the added compound of lead.	76
Fig. 4-23 XRD results for water-extracted mixed fly ash with added PbO after milling treatment with different milling solutions: (a) ethanol; (b) water; (c) 0.02 M H ₃ PO ₄ and (d) 0.2 M H ₃ PO ₄	79
Fig. 4-24 XRD comparison between standard pattern of lead and 96 h milled ash which use water as milling solution.	80
Fig. 4-25 Identification of XRD patterns for raw reaction fly ash.	82
Fig. 4-26 Identification of XRD patterns for water-extracted reaction fly ash.	82
Fig. 4-27 Median particle size after the various grinding periods.	83
Fig. 4-28 Particle-size distributions after the various grinding periods: (a) 0-4h; (b) 4-48h.	83
Fig. 4-29 SEP results of Pb.	86
Fig. 4-30 SEP results of Zn.	86
Fig. 4-31 SEP results of Cu.	86
Fig. 4-32 SEP results of Cr.	87
Fig. 4-33 SEP results of Cd.	87
Fig. 5-1 Particle size distribution for water treatment sludge (WTS), Cullet and water-extracted reaction fly ash.	90
Fig. 5-2 SEM observation results of (a) water-extracted reaction fly ash, 10 kX (b) water treatment sludge, 10 kX, (c) cullet, 10 kX.	91

Fig. 5-3 The compression strength of the sintered specimen for different sintering temperature and mixed proportion.	93
Fig. 5-4 Particle size distribution for different mixture composition with 1 h of milling treatment.	95
Fig. 5-5 The compression strength results of sintered specimen with milling.	97
Fig. 5-6 The volume shrinkage rate of sintered specimen for (a) non-milled sample and (b) milled sample.	99
Fig. 5-7 The weight loss of sintered specimen for (a) non-milled sample and (b) milled sample.	100
Fig. 5-8 XRD comparison results for scheme 442.	102
Fig. 5-9 XRD comparison results for scheme 361.	102
Fig. 5-10 XRD comparison results for scheme 334.	103
Fig. 5-11 XRD comparison results for scheme 244.	104
Fig. 5-12 XRD comparison results for scheme 424.	105
Fig. 5-13 SEM micrographs of sintered specimens for scheme 442: (a) non-milled 1 kX, (b) non-milled 30 kX, (c) milled 1 kX, (d) milled 30 kX.	107
Fig. 5-14 SEM micrographs of sintered specimens for scheme 361: (a) non-milled 1 kX, (b) non-milled 30 kX, (c) milled 1 kX, (d) milled 30 kX.	107
Fig. 5-15 SEM micrographs of sintered specimens for scheme 334: (a) non-milled 1 kX, (b) non-milled 10 kX, (c) non-milled 1 kX, (d) milled 1 kX, (e) milled 10 kX, (f) milled 5 kX.	109
Fig. 5-16 SEM micrographs of sintered specimens for scheme 244: (a) non-milled 200 X, (b) non-milled 10 kX, (c) non-milled 30 kX, (d) milled 200 X, (e) milled 10 kX, (f) milled 30 kX.	111
Fig. 5-17 SEM micrographs of sintered specimens for scheme 424: (a) non-milled 1 kX, (b) non-milled 10 kX, (c) milled 1 kX, (d) milled 10 kX.	112
Fig. 5-18 The influence of milling treatment on metal evaporation of sintered specimen, the hollow circle is without milling and the full circle is milling.	115
Fig. 5-19 SEM micrographs of sintered specimens for scheme 433 by 1 kX observation: (a) non-milled 900 °C, (b) non-milled 950 °C, (c) non-milled 1000 °C, (d) milled 900 °C, (e) milled 950 °C, (f) milled 1000 °C.	116
Fig. 5-20 SEM micrographs of sintered specimens for scheme 433 by 10 kX observation: (a) non-milled 900 °C, (b) non-milled 950 °C, (c) non-milled 1000 °C, (d) milled 900 °C, (e) milled 950 °C, (f) milled 1000 °C.	117

表目錄

Table 2-1 Some divalent metal phosphate minerals and their solubility products.....	16
Table 3-1 Mixture composition of experimental materials (dry weight)	26
Table 3-2 The used chemicals in the experiment	28
Table 3-3 The sieve and filter papers	29
Table 3-4 The SEP experimental conditions.	32
Table 4-1 The basic analysis of experimental materials.	37
Table 4-2 Chemical composition of the fly ashes.	39
Table 4-3 TCLP test results for fly ashes.	39
Table 4-4 TCLP results after milling (mg/L).	54
Table 4-5 The partitioning of Pb after 96 h of milling time.	55
Table 4-6 The Pb concentration for different milling solutions after milling treatment.	64
Table 4-7 Pb leaching TCLP results for different milling solutions after milling treatment.	65
Table 4-8 The statistical date of TCLP concentration of heavy metals in the fly ashes (mg/L).....	69
Table 4-9 The Zn concentration for different milling solutions after milling treatment.	70
Table 4-10 The Cu concentration for different milling solutions after milling treatment.	70
Table 4-11 The Cr concentration for different milling solutions after milling treatment.	70
Table 4-12 The Cd concentration for different milling solutions after milling treatment.	70
Table 4-13 Zn leaching TCLP results for different milling solutions after milling treatment.....	71
Table 4-14 Cu leaching TCLP results for different milling solutions after milling treatment.....	71
Table 4-15 Cr leaching TCLP results for different milling solutions after milling treatment.	71
Table 4-16 Cd leaching TCLP results for different milling solutions after milling treatment.	72
Table 4-17 Chemical composition of the reaction fly ash.....	81
Table 4-18 Concentration of heavy metals in the water-extracted and milling solutions.....	84
Table 4-19 Concentration of heavy metals in TCLP test results for the water-extracted	

reaction fly ash after milling.	85
Table 4-20 Mass balance results for water-extracted reaction fly ash after 48 h of milling.	88
Table 5-1 The composition and heavy metals content of the experimental materials.	91
Table 5-2 The TCLP leaching concentration of the experimental materials.....	92
Table 5-3 The soundness of sintered specimen.	94
Table 5-4 The TCLP leaching concentration for different mixture composition with 1 h of milling.	95
Table 5-5 The increased rate of compression strength after milling treatment.	96
Table 5-6 Soundness results for sintered specimen with milling pretreatment.....	97
Table 5-7 The mark number of identification results for sintered specimen.	101
Table 5-8 The TCLP test concentration of sintered specimen for scheme 433.....	113

